

# Improving Reading Comprehension through Arts among Grade 1 and 2 ODL Learners

## Teaching and Learning



**Proponent: Maureen N. Cedo**  
**Co proponent: Marie Joy B. Saquido**  
**San Francisco Elementary School**

2ND DIVISION RESEARCH PARLIAMENT



### ABSTRACT

Arts integration is a teaching strategy in which the arts are integrated with the non-arts curriculum to deepen learners' understanding. (Isenberg & Jalongo, 2010, Werner & Freeman, 2001)

This action research aimed to improve reading comprehension among Grade 1 and 2 ODL Learners through Art Integration in Storytelling and in all subjects. In San Francisco Elementary School, based on Phil-IRI Result, there are 55 non-readers reported for S.Y. 2020-2021. Can arts integration help us reduce this number of non-readers? Can it also improve reading comprehension among learners? This is the reason why the proponent wanted to conduct action research on how to improve reading comprehension among Grade 1 and 2 ODL Learners through using art integration in storytelling and other subject areas. The success of implication of all the activities in this research, could be one of the bases for the next activities on the proceeding years and can still be considered as subject for improvement upon implementation.

## **I. Introduction**

Arts integration is a teaching strategy in which the arts are integrated with the non-arts curriculum to deepen learners' understanding. (Isenberg & Jalongo, 2010, Werner & Freeman, 2001)

In San Francisco Elementary School, based on Phil-IRI Result, there are 55 non-readers reported for S.Y. 2020-2021. Can arts integration help us reduce this number of non-readers? Can it also improve reading comprehension among learners? This is the reason why the proponent wanted to conduct action research on Improving Reading Comprehension through Arts among Grade 1 and 2 ODL Learners.

## **II. Methodology**

This action research aims to address the needs of struggling learners, this action

research integrated arts in storytelling and other non-art curriculum that helped learners improved their reading comprehension.

The researcher gathered data based on the Phil-IRI results and crafted survey form on how these learners learn passively. The researcher asked permission from the principal and started the action research with integrating arts activities in storytelling and in all non-art curriculum.

Learners under this research were assessed through the EGRA Toolkit and Phil IRI. The results determined the effectiveness of the activities given in this research.

### III. Results

**Fig. 1.1: PRE-ASSESSMENT USING EGRA TOOLKIT (GRADE 1 LEARNERS)**

EARLY GRADE READING ASSESSMENT TOOLKIT (EGRA) - TAGALOG													
Reading Level Scale													
0 - Nothing		3 - Letter Sound Knowledge			6 - Invented Word Decoding			9 - Listening Comprehension					
1 - Orientation to Book Print		4 - Initial Sound Identification			7 - Oral Passage Reading			10 - Dictation					
2 - Letter Name Knowledge		5 - Familiar Word Reading			8 - Reading Comprehension								
	Section	Enrolment		READING LEVEL									
		M	F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Grade 1	Santan	17	18	1	3	7	8	2	4	2	3	4	1
	Rosal	21	14	0	0	5	9	9	1	4	2	5	0
	Daisy	19	16	3	8	8	3	2	0	6	4	1	0
	Sampaguita	21	18	1	0	6	7	8	6	2	2	2	5
	Camia	17	19	2	2	7	4	3	4	6	3	3	2
Total		95	85	7	13	33	31	24	15	20	14	15	8

**Fig. 1.2: PRE-ASSESSMENT USING EGRA TOOLKIT (GRADE 2 LEARNERS)**

EARLY GRADE READING ASSESSMENT TOOLKIT (EGRA) - TAGALOG														
Reading Level Scale														
0 - Nothing		3 - Letter Sound Knowledge				6 - Invented Word Decoding				9 - Listening Comprehension				
1 - Orientation to Book Print		4 - Initial Sound Identification				7 - Oral Passage Reading				10 - Dictation				
2 - Letter Name Knowledge		5 - Familiar Word Reading				8 - Reading Comprehension								
		Enrolment		READING LEVEL										TOTAL
	Section	M	F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Grade 2	Narra	19	14	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	29	0	33
	Mahogany	18	14	3	3	2	2	3	3	4	5	5	2	32
	Acacia	18	14	2	0	0	0	6	2	6	8	8	0	32
	Molave	19	14	1	0	0	0	5	3	5	10	9	0	33
	Kamagong	18	15	2	3	2	1	6	3	5	5	6	0	33
Total		92	71	8	6	4	3	21	11	23	28	57	2	163

**Fig. 2.1: POST-ASSESSMENT USING EGRA TOOLKIT (GRADE 1 LEARNERS)**

EARLY GRADE READING ASSESSMENT TOOLKIT (EGRA) - TAGALOG													
Reading Level Scale													
0 - Nothing			3 - Letter Sound Knowledge				6 - Invented Word Decoding				9 - Listening Comprehension		
1 - Orientation to Book Print			4 - Initial Sound Identification				7 - Oral Passage Reading				10 - Dictation		
2 - Letter Name Knowledge			5 - Familiar Word Reading				8 - Reading Comprehension						
Section	Enrolment		READING LEVEL										
	M	F	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Santan	17	18			1	4	3	3	3	4	4	11	3
Rosal	20	13	0	0	1	4	5	3	4	3	6	5	2
Daisy	19	16	0	0	0	4	3	4	5	7	5	4	3
Sampaguita	21	18	0	0	2	5	7	5	2	3	5	5	5
Camia	17	19	0	3	5	7	3	4	5	3	2	2	2
	94	84		3	9	24	20	19	19	20	22	27	15

**Fig. 2.2: POST-ASSESSMENT USING EGRA TOOLKIT (GRADE 2 LEARNERS)**

				Reading Level Scale													
0 - Nothing				3 - Letter Sound Knowledge				6 - Invented Word Decoding				9 - Listening Comprehension					
1 - Orientation to Book Print				4 - Initial Sound Identification				7 - Oral Passage Reading				10 - Dictation					
2 - Letter Name Knowledge				5 - Familiar Word Reading				8 - Reading Comprehension									
	Section	Enrolment		READING LEVEL											TOTAL		
		M	F	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
Grade 2	Narra	19	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	15	14	33		
	Mahogany	17	15	2	2	3	3	3	2	3	6	4	3	3	32		
	Acacia	19	14	0	1	0	0	0	2	3	7	11	9	0	33		
	Molave	19	14	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	6	9	10	2	33		
	Kamagong	18	15	0	2	3	2	1	6	3	5	6	0	33			
Total		92	72		5	6	5	5	12	13	28	30	43	19	164		

#### **IV. Discussion**

The result shown on Fig 1.1 and 1.2 are the results of Early Grade Reading Assessment among Grade 1 and 2 learners on their pre-assessment:

The result of Grade 1 ODL learners are as follows: out of 39, 1 learner was assessed under orientation to book print, 6 were under letter sound knowledge, 7 under initial sound recognition, 8 under familiar word reading, 6 under invented word decoding, 2 under oral passage reading, 2 under reading comprehension, 2 under listening comprehension, and 5 that can already undergo with dictation. This shows that 72% of them had low performing skills in reading comprehension during their pre-assessment and only 28% of 39 understood the texts and had reading comprehension. The result of Grade 2 ODL learners are as follows: out of

33, 1 was under familiar word reading, 3 oral passages reading, and 29 had reading comprehension already. This shows that 12% of them had low performing skills in reading comprehension during their pre-assessment and only 88% of 33 understood the texts and had reading comprehension.

Comparing the results on their post-assessment using the same tool, Grade 1 ODL learners increased from 28% to 49% learners that can already understand and comprehend stories. And for Grade 2 ODL learners, it increased from 88% to 97% of learners that can already comprehend stories.

#### **IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, thanks and praises to God Almighty for His blessings throughout my research work. There are people worth mentioning as I state my acknowledgement, they are

the people who unselfishly shared their part in this action research. They are as follow:

Grade 1-Sampaguita and Grade II – Narra learners from batch 2021-2022 for they had been the recipient and mainly target in this action research, without them this action research would have been impossible.

Grade 1 – Sampaguita and Grade II – Narra parents from batch 2021-2022 for they had participated and supported their kids just to make this research be possible.

To our co-teachers from Grade 1 and Grade 2 levels, for they had been supportive as we conducted this action research.

Ma’am Evangeline U. Mendejar, for her guidance all throughout the research work.

Ma’am Grace P. Hufalar, for her support all throughout the implementation of the action research.

Ma’am Leonora C. Cruz, for giving us chance to be part of this action research.

Ma’am Angela A. Morando, for her technical assistance from the beginning of the implementation of this action research.

And our family, for the support we received during the implementation and conduct of this research.

Me and my co-proponent are extremely grateful to the people mentioned above.

The success of this research work beholden to their part as our support system.

## **V. REFERENCES**

- \* Isenberg & Jalongo, 2010
- Werner & Freeman, 2001